



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
PO Box 1450
Arlington, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 14

Glen E. Books, ESQ
Lowenstein Sandler PC
65 Livingston Avenue
Roseland, NJ 07068

COPY MAILED

JUN 03 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Zhenan Bao et al.
Application No. 09/603,941
Filed: June 27, 2000
Attorney Docket No. BAO 16-25-12

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed May 16, 2003, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.113 in a timely manner in reply to the final Office action mailed July 22, 2002. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 have been obtained. Accordingly, the application became abandoned on October 23, 2002.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)." This is **not** final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 704.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by: (1) the required reply, unless previously filed; (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m); (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional; and (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required by 37 CFR 1.137(c). Where there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137 was unintentional, the Commissioner may require additional information. See MPEP 711.03(c)(III)(C) and (D). The instant petition lack(s) item(s) (1).

The application became abandoned for failure to timely file a reply within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.113 to the final Office action of July 22, 2002. The proposed reply required for consideration of a petition to revive must be a Notice of Appeal (and appeal fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(b)), an amendment that *prima facie* places the application in condition for allowance, or the filing of a continuing application. See MPEP 711.03(c)(III)(A)(2). Since the amendment submitted does not *prima facie* place the

application in condition for allowance, the reply required must be a Notice of Appeal (and appeal fee) or the filing of a continuing application. Alternatively, the reply requirement may be met by the filing of a submission under 37 CFR 1.129(a) if the above-identified application is eligible for such transitional practice .

An extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136 must be filed prior to the expiration of the maximum extendable period for reply. See In re Application of S., 8 USPQ2d 1630, 1631 (Comm'r Pats. 1988). Accordingly, since the \$930 extension of time submitted with the petition on May 16, 2003 was subsequent to the maximum extendable period for reply, this fee is unnecessary and will be credited to petitioner's deposit account.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop Petitions
 PO Box 1450
 Arlington, VA 22313-1450

By facsimile: (703) 308-6916
 Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand: Office of Petitions
 2201 South Clark Place
 Crystal Plaza 4, Suite 3C23
 Arlington, VA 22202

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Latrice Bond at (703) 308-6911.



Latrice Bond
Paralegal Specialist
Office of Petitions
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination Policy

Attachment: "Courtesy Copy of Advisory Action"